Biofilm adhesion on polypropylene and nylon sutures in clinical crown lengthening surgery: controlled clinical trial
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ABSTRACT

Objective. The aim of this study was to compare biofilm adherence in polypropylene versus nylon sutures in clinical crown lengthening surgery on anterior teeth.

Material and methods. This controlled clinical trial was conducted between April and October 2019 at the Dental Center and the Microbiology Laboratory of the Antenor Orrego Private University, with 30 patients undergoing clinical crown lengthening surgery for prosthetic reasons of an anterior tooth who met the established selection criteria. Participants were chosen using the non-probabilistic selection method for convenience. Reliability was determined by calibration of the principal investigator, using Cohen’s Kappa test, both intra-evaluator (0.984) and inter-evaluator (0.978) with an independent collaborator, a microbiology technician from the University. After lengthening the clinical crown, suturing was done by placing two simple interrupted stitches in the same surgical site, one made with propylene and the other made with nylon, with an approximate distance of 1cm between them. Seven days after surgery, in addition to postoperative control, the suture stitches were removed, cutting a 1cm segment exposed in the oral cavity, from each thread, to be analyzed. Bacterial adhesion of polypropylene and nylon yarns was compared using the paired-samples Student’s t-test and also compared to a control. A significance level of 5% was considered.

Results. There is a significant difference (p=0.001) between the adherence of biofilm in polypropylene suture compared to nylon after clinical crown lengthening surgery.

Conclusion. Polypropylene suture adheres less biofilm compared to nylon in clinical crown lengthening surgery.
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INTRODUCTION

Clinical crown lengthening (CCL) is the surgical procedure that allows gum and/or bone tissue to expose a greater amount of dental structure, allowing the rest to ensure a good marginal seal and adequate retention for restorations. The surgical method will depend on factors such as aesthetics, crown-root ratio, root shape, dental position and height of the keratinized gingiva [1-5].

This procedure aims to reposition the tissues apically, to increase the coronal height [6,7], reestablishing the biological space that is the union of supracrestal connective and epithelial tissues, which occupy the space between the base of the gingival sulcus and the crest alveolar [8-11].

The techniques and materials chosen in all oral surgery procedures should promote good closure and healing of the surgical space. To the extent possible, dental surgical procedures should be completed with suture stitches for closure and definition of the wound. These sutures are chosen based on the surgical site, biocompatibility, ease of handling, strength, and durability [12,13].

An infection could happen if the operated tissues are not properly treated, generally associated with the local bacterial flora, due to the presence of the biofilm [14,15].

Corresponding authors:
Angel Steven Asmat Abanto
E-mail: aasmat.abanto@gmail.com

Article History:
Received: 31 December 2023
Accepted: 30 March 2024
The use of sutures plays an important role in wound healing, allowing good positioning of postsurgery tissues, maintaining hemostasis and reducing postoperative pain. Those are classified according to origin (natural and synthetic), structure (monofilaments and multifilaments), and biological properties (absorbable and non-absorbable) [16, 17].

A suture must have tensile strength, stability, security, and flexibility to prevent damage to the oral mucosa. In addition, the sutures provide a suitable surface for adherence and biofilm formation, protecting exogenous bacteria from the host’s defense mechanism. For this reason, special attention should be paid to the characteristics that prevent this problem [15, 17, 18].

Among the non-absorbable synthetic suture materials, we find polypropylene and nylon. The first one has high tensile strength and does not cause tissue reaction; (17, 19) while nylon presents regular ease of handling and knot security. In general, both have low tissue reactivity and low biofilm adherence compared to multifilament sutures, such as silk or polyglactin 910 [12, 15, 17, 19, 20]. However, clinicians prefer the last one because monofilament sutures are more difficult to manipulate and have sharp ends that can irritate oral tissues [12, 17, 19, 20].

There are not enough studies that compare these types of sutures and it’s necessary for the correct closure of the surgical wound, minimizing post-operative problems, and allowing the dental surgeon to choose the type of suture always using scientific evidence.

Given that sutures can initiate surgical site infection, the need for clinical evidence-based choice is necessary to prevent this process. Therefore, the present study aimed to compare the adherence of biofilm in polypropylene versus nylon sutures after coronary lengthening surgery.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

The present clinical study, where both sutures were put on the same patient, was carried out between April and October 2019 at the Dental Center and the Microbiology Laboratory of the Antenor Orrego Private University with the proper authorization of the Postgraduate School (RD N°0373-2019-EPG-UPAO).

A pilot study was conducted where microbial adhesion to sutures in colony-forming units (CFU) was measured. Reliability was determined by calibration of the principal investigator, using Cohen’s Kappa test, both intra-evaluator (0.984) and inter-evaluator (0.978) with an independent collaborator, a microbiology technician from the University. To determine the sample size, data from this pilot study and the formula for comparison of means of independent groups were used, which were $\alpha=0.050$, $\beta=0.050$, $1\alpha/2=0.975$, $Z1-\alpha/2=1.960$, $1-\beta=0.950$, $Z1-\beta=1.645$, Polypropylene group variance = $5.30E-06$, Nylon group variance = $1.29E-04$, $x1 - x2=0.012$. The development of the formula showed a minimum size of 12 suture threads per group; however, for the present study we worked with 30 polypropylene sutures, 30 nylon sutures, and 30 controls each in the same material.

The patients selected for the study were between 18 and 35 years of age, in good general health and indicated for crown lengthening surgery due to prosthetic requirement in the anterior tooth to be performed in the Periodontics II course of Stomatology Program. Patients excluded had concurrent orthodontic treatment, smokers or consumed drugs that could interfere with the results of the study.

The patients were selected by non-probabilistic sampling for convenience and the importance of their participation in the study was explained to them and, if they voluntarily accepted, they proceeded to deliver the informed consent to be signed. During the study, the patient who did not comply with the post-surgical indications, abandoned treatment, partially or lost the suture, or developed any post-surgical complication unrelated to what was intended to be evaluated was eliminated: bleeding, infection, injury to adjacent soft and bony tissues, etc.

The present work is a thesis to obtain a master’s academic degree and was registered in the Postgraduate School (Resolution No. 0373-2019-EPG-UPAO), the permission of the Principal of the Stomatology Program and the Bioethics Committee of the Antenor Orrego Private University (RD N°287-2019-UPAO), considering the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and the General Health Law of Peru (Law No. 26842).

Before starting the surgery, the operating students were trained to comply with the study protocol and during the procedure, it was verified it was carried out without inconvenience. All patients approved for periodontal surgery in the Periodontics II subject, prior to the surgical procedure, must comply with having an O’leary index <20% and the 0.12% chlorhexidine-based mouthwash. After lengthening the clinical crown, suturing was done by placing two simple interrupted stitches in the same surgical site, one made with propylene (6-0, TC 15 LOT 10253329) and the other made with nylon (6-0, TC 15 LOT 10470216), with an approximate distance of 1cm between them.

Postoperative indications were the same for all patients, emphasizing the corresponding care for wound care and sutures. The patient was kept in contact until the day of control.

Seven days after surgery, in addition to postoperative evaluation, the suture stitches were removed, cutting a 1-cm segment exposed in the oral cavity.
from each thread, to be analyzed in test tubes containing 200 ul of Luria Bertani culture (LB culture). This culture contains casein peptone and yeast extract that provide the medium with the necessary nutrients for the optimal development of most microorganisms. Also sodium chloride helps maintain osmotic balance (Sodium chloride 5.0, yeast extract 5.0, casein peptone 10.0 (pH 7.2 ± 0.2). The same was done with the control stitches: one segment of 1 cm was cut from each control suture of sterile polypropylene and nylon to be analyzed in test tubes containing the LB culture. Then they were taken to the microbiology laboratory where the tubes were shaken for two minutes and the absorbance was read using the Thermo Scientific Multiskan GO spectrophotometer (200-1000 nm) manufactured in Japan by Thermo Fisher Scientific Corporation. Only 0.5 ml was removed with a lab pipette from the LB culture and placed in small sample reservoir plates with wells. These plates were entered into the machine and then hit start, to begin with the reading.

The data obtained were processed automatically in the statistical program SPSS Statistics 22.0, to be presented in tables with means, standard deviations and confidence intervals. The bacterial adhesion of polypropylene and nylon yarns was compared using the paired-sample Student’s t-test and also compared to a control. A significance level of 5% was considered.

**RESULTS**

In the present study, we initially worked with 37 patients, of whom 7 were eliminated because they lost their suture threads. Of the 30 that remained, 28 were women (93.3%) and 2 were men (6.7%).

When comparing the postoperative bacterial adhesion of both sutures, a difference was found between them (p=0.001), corresponding to greater adhesion to the nylon thread (0.074±0.0053 AU) compared to the polypropylene (0.069±0.0037 AU) (Table 1). Readings of absorbancy which doesn’t have a measured unit due to it’s value that it’s dimensionless and is known as “AU” (absorbance units).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Biofilm adhesion on polypropylene suture vs nylon, post crown lengthening surgery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Descriptive statistics</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>N</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean (AU)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Standard deviation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Minimum n° (AU)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maximum n° (AU)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p<0.05: statistically significant, p>0.05: NS; t= 6.456; p=0.001

In Tables 2 and 3, it can be seen that both the polypropylene and nylon sutures presented greater bacterial adhesion than their corresponding controls (p=0.001 for both).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Biofilm adhesion on polypropylene suture vs control post crown lengthening surgery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Descriptive statistics</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>N</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean (AU)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Standard deviation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Minimum n° (AU)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maximum n° (AU)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p<0.05: statistically significant, p>0.05: NS; t= 4.005; p=0.001

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Biofilm adhesion on nylon suture vs control post crown lengthening surgery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Descriptive statistics</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>N</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean (AU)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Standard deviation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Minimum n° (AU)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maximum n° (AU)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p<0.05: statistically significant, p>0.05: NS; t= 8.474; p=0.001

**DISCUSSION**

The sutures must have certain properties so that the recovery of the surgical patient doesn’t have complications. Among these characteristics are resistance to traction, stability, safety and flexibility to avoid damaging the oral mucosa. In addition, they must avoid bacterial adherence and contamination of the wound; despite this, the sutures are foreign materials that allow the accumulation of bacteria [17,19].

In this regard, Tummalapalli et al. [21] report that in surgery there is a high risk of contamination due to bacteria from the environment that adhere to the materials used, as is the case with sutures. This adherence and colonization can result in infection, complications of surgery, trauma, and/or the need
for increased postoperative care such as additional antibiotic treatment.

Likewise, Faris et al. [16], Dragovic et al. [17] and Gazivoda et al. [22] agree that sutures adhere bacteria as they are foreign materials in the mouth. However, monofilament sutures, due to their composition, also do that but in a lesser way. This allows a lower risk of infection and, therefore, better closure and healing of the wound after surgery, especially in sectors where aesthetic results are compromised.

This finding reinforces what was reported in the review by Burckhardt et al. [23] where they conclude that the best suture for the buccal region in periodontal and peri-implant surgeries is polypropylene 6-0 due to its better adaptation and stability of the wound, in addition to, recommend nylon 6-0 to be used in interdental sutures, especially in the molar area. Paolantoni et al. [24] also agree with the above, suggesting the use, for crown lengthening procedures in anterior sectors, of non-absorbable suture such as polypropylene or monofilament or expanded polytetrafluoroethylene.

However, it is also important to remember that bacterial adherence is conditioned by factors such as oral hygiene, which depend on each patient, as well as post-surgery wound care [12,17].

The limitations of the study were the loss of some suture threads, possibly due to poor suturing techniques or difficulties of the students. However, these patients were withdrawn from the study, it meant that they were no longer considered.

In the present investigation, the polypropylene suture had the less adherence of biofilm compared to nylon, thus reducing the possibility of contamination of the wound. It is suggested to carry out an investigation with a larger population comparing the two threads in other types of oral surgeries to better understand their advantages and disadvantages.

**CONCLUSION**

Polypropylene suture adheres less biofilm compared to nylon in clinical crown lengthening surgery on anterior teeth.
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