

Mandibular second premolar with C- Shaped canal diagnosed with CBCT technology: Case report with 24 months of follow-up

By Raid Abdullah Almnea



TYPE OF ARTICLE: Case Report

**Mandibular second premolar with C-Shaped canal diagnosed with
CBCT technology: Case report with 24 months of follow-up**

Raid Abdullah Almnea

¹⁶
¹Department of Restorative Dentistry, Division of Endodontics, College of Dentistry,
Najran University, Najran, Saudi Arabia.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

. raidalmnea@gmail.com;

[0009-0007-2747-3333](tel:0009-0007-2747-3333)

ABSTRACT

Root canal anatomy can greatly vary by tooth and among teeth with the same type. ¹⁷ The ⁵ aim of this case report was to describe the management of a C-shaped canal in 21-year-old male Saudi patient presented with severe pain in the left second premolar mandibular tooth. It was diagnosed with ²⁹ cone beam computed tomography (CBCT). The C-shaped configuration exhibited fins, web-like connections, or an elongated ribbon-shaped



appearance, which rendered cleaning, shaping, and obturation difficult. The use of modern endodontic tools, such as CBCT system; dental operating microscope, which facilitates cleaning and shaping; and bioceramic sealers accelerated sealing and healing. After 24 months of follow-up, clinical and radiographic evaluation revealed the absence of signs and symptoms; reduction in the size of the periapical lesion; and starting of complete healing.

Key words: C-shaped canal, Mandibular second premolar, Cone-beam computed tomography, Root canal morphology, Saudi patient

Abbreviations:

CBCT - Cone beam computed tomography

DOM- Dental Operating Microscope

OPG- Orthopantomography

RCT- Root Canal Treatment

NM- No Mentioned

INTRODUCTION

Successful root canal treatment (RCT) requires a thorough understanding of root canal anatomy, pulp chamber floor assessment, radiograph interpretation, chemo-mechanical planning, and three-dimensional obturation of the root canal system (RCS) [1]. Even in teeth that are unsusceptible to irregular root canal formation, the possibility of abnormal morphology should be considered [1]. To ensure a positive outcome, practitioners must



28 have a comprehensive understanding of root canal anatomy and complexities because the improper handling of the RCS can lead to procedural failure [2].

5 Premolars exhibit distinct characteristics and frequently show anatomical variations in the number of root canals and roots. C-shaped canals render diagnosis and treatment difficult 8 [3]. The prevalence rates of C-shaped canals in mandibular first and second premolars were 2.3% and 0.6%, respectively, in Western Europe [4]; 3.3% and 1.0%, respectively, in Australia [5]; 4.58% and 1.13%, respectively, in Turkiye [6]; and 1.5% and 0.80%, respectively, in other countries [7]. However, mandibular premolars in a Saudi Arabian (SA) population revealed higher prevalence rates (17.4% and 7.4%, respectively) 39 [8]. The first mandibular premolar more often shows altered root and canal morphology than the second mandibular premolar 9 [9]. Karobari et al. [10] evaluated 1230 premolars and recorded the presence of C-shaped canals in 0.40% of the mandibular second premolars and the absence of C-shaped canals in the mandibular first premolars.

The complexity caused by C-shaped canals poses considerable anatomical challenges to RCTs. These canals are difficult to detect through conventional two-dimensional periapical radiography [3]. Therefore, the limited field of view provided by CBCT enhances RCT planning by enabling clinicians to accurately detect and diagnose C-shaped canals before a procedure is initiated 14 [11]. However, even after the recognition of a C-shaped canal, cleaning, shaping, and obturating RCS are difficult throughout an RCT 14 [12]. Recent advancements in endodontic techniques have enhanced the management of complex canal configurations. The incorporation of rotary and hand instrumentation and the use of DOM, CBCT, and modifications in obturation techniques ensure a 3D fill of the canal system 20 [12].

C-shaped mandibular premolars in SA were presented by Al-Mahroos et al. [13], and anatomical variations in canal morphology have been documented in many cases. Table 1 shows the characteristics of case reports involving Saudi patients [1,11,13-16] and corresponding authors' names, cities, gender, and patient ages, premolar location, diagnosis at the time of treatment, and canal classification according to previous and recent systems [3,17-19]. Parameters, such as ratio between the number of canals and roots, locations of radicular grooves, methods for canal assessment and visualization, and main treatment outcomes after different periodical follow-up periods have been proposed. This paper aims to illustrate the diagnosis and management of left mandibular second premolar with a C-shaped canal with a modern endodontic technology with two years follow-up. 6



INSERT TABLE

3
CASE REPORT

A 21-year-old male Saudi patient visited the endodontic clinic at Abha City in SA, with a chief complaint of severe spontaneous pain associated with the lower left posterior teeth. The patient's medical history was noncontributory, and no significant finding was obtained on extra-oral assessment. The past dental history revealed multiple simple restorations in the lower mandibular region.

Clinical examination showed that 34# and 35# were previously treated, but 35# responded negatively to a cold test (Endo-Frost, Coltene Whale Dent, Germany) but positively to percussion. In addition, no mobility and no involvement to the periodontal tissue were observed. A preoperative radiograph revealed coronal carious radiolucency involving the pulp with large periapical radiolucency associated with 35#. Additionally, the middle part of the root canal seemed to have disappeared on the periapical radiograph. This phenomenon was called the fast-break phenomenon (Fig 1.A). Therefore, a CBCT instrument with a limited field of view was used in the lower left quadrant. The presence of C-shaped canals with buccal external groove was confirmed, and the common coronal canal diverged into three canals in the middle third (Figs 1.B–D). These canals subsequently converged in the apical third, ultimately emerging through the same foramen (Fig 1.E). According to the classification proposed by Ahmed et al. [17], the tooth had single root, one orifice, three canals in the middle and one apical foramen (135^{1-2-1}), or it had on cross-section C4b on the coronal third, C5 in the middle third, and C4a in the apical third according to the classification proposed by Fan et al. [3].

Clinical and radiographical examination confirmed pulpal necrosis with symptomatic apical periodontitis. Thus, endodontic treatment was established after the patient received a thorough explanation of the treatment plan and extensive discussions regarding potential complications during treatment and the likelihood of success and failure in root canal procedures. The patient signed a consent form.

Treatment was started by local anesthesia administration and rubber dam isolation. The old defective restoration was removed, and endo Z-bur (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) was used to modify the access cavity. A C-shaped canal configuration was confirmed under a dental operating microscope (DOM), and the canal was hardly negotiated by 10 K-files (TG Company, China). An apex locator (Root ZX II, J. Morita, Tokyo, Japan) was used for working length determination. The root system was cleaned



and shaped with the ProTaper next files (Universal, Gold; Tulsa Dental, Tulsa, OK), and copious irrigation with 5.25% sodium hypochlorite solution and 17% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (Meta MD - Cleanser 17% EDTA) was performed. Intracanal medication was conducted during the initial appointments. In the second appointment, the tooth was asymptomatic, and the root canals were dried. Obturation was performed with matching gutta-percha cones (META Biomed, USA) and bioceramic root canal sealer CeraSeal (META Biomed, USA).

The patient presented for regular follow-up showed improvement in signs and symptoms and responded normally to a percussion test. The healing of the apical tissue was observed in preapical radiographs were collected at 3, and 12 months (Figs 2 A & B). After 24 months follow-up, a CBCT was obtained. It showed a reduction in the preapical lesion size and absence of clinical symptoms (Fig 3). The patient was scheduled for further recall appointments for monitoring the preapical lesion.

DISCUSSION

One of the key factors in successful RCT outcomes in mandibular premolars is having a thorough knowledge of the variation and anatomical complexity of the RCS. Variations in the number and shapes of the canals are common and hinders treatments [12]. Thus, DOM and CBCT greatly benefit the diagnosis of anatomical variations. This case report described the management of a C-shaped canal associated with the mandibular left second premolar and 24-months follow-up period.

A mandibular premolar typically has a single canal, but two or more canals may form [9]. Additionally, a distinctive C-shaped canal configuration, resembling the letter C in cross-section, can be found in mandibular premolars. This configuration occurs more frequently in the first premolars than in the second premolars [4–7] although C-shaped canal morphology is more commonly associated with mandibular second molars [20]. Therefore, CBCT is highly recommended to ensure proper RCT for symptomatic mandibular premolars.

The interpretation of conventional radiographs facilitates the detection of unusual anatomical features. However, it is often insufficient in providing a comprehensive visualization of complex RCS [21]. Therefore, advancements in dental imaging techniques, such as CBCT scanning, are valuable to the exploration of the C-shaped canal configuration in large samples and potentially challenge the findings of traditional investigations [8, 10]. In the present case, the sudden discontinuity of the canal or the fast-



break phenomenon was indicative of the presence of complex morphology. Thus, CBCT scanning was used in other reported cases [11,14,15].

Table 1 summarizes the published cases in SA from 2016 to 2022 and reveals morphological variations among premolars and between genders. Moreover, this case was similar to most published cases with regard to the side of the unusual canal configuration and tooth site, which was the left side [1,11,13–14, 16] and second premolar [11,14–15, 19], respectively. In addition, C4b canal configuration at the coronal third in this particular case was consistent with previous studies [3,7]. However, other studies reported a different pattern; the C1 configuration was predominant in the coronal third [11,13,14].

Typically, developmental grooves form on the mesial and distal surfaces of the roots of mandibular premolars, and the formation of C-shaped canals is closely linked to the presence and size of these root grooves, which are caused by the incomplete fusion of Hertwig's epithelial root sheath in the buccal or lingual surface of the root [12]. These radicular grooves are mostly found on the mesial side of the root, and the mesial walls of a C-shaped canal are thin in these sides near the radicular groove of the walls, especially at the lingual sites [22–23]. However, the radicular groove in the present case was located on the buccal surface, which was the thinnest among the walls. This groove was in the emesio-lingual wall in other cases [11,14].

C-shaped canal poses challenges to instrumentation using most types of NiTi rotary files because of its irregular configuration. Thus, a biomechanical preparation technique that combines NiTi instrumentation, ultrasonic irrigation, and intracanal medications is recommended. This approach ensures the establishment of a sterile environment prior to obturation and promotes optimal treatment outcomes [24,25]. For the present case, these guidelines were strictly followed during treatment, and bioceramic sealers were used, which improve long-term prognosis by minimizing leakage and enhancing periapical healing [26].

RCT outcomes should be assessed every 6 months for 2–5 years. This approach facilitates the identification and resolution of post-operative issues that may negatively affect a patient [27]. In the present case, 2 years of regular follow-up was conducted, which was longer than the follow-ups documented previously [11,13,15]. The clinical significance of this case is that it demonstrated the importance of knowledge of basic anatomical morphology and the appropriate utilization of radiographic imaging, such as CBCT, in the evaluation of the complexity associated with mandibular premolars. This evaluation procedure helps to prevent tooth damage and to prevent complications associated with endo-surgery or extraction.



CONCLUSION

Mandibular second premolars may present with complex anatomical variation, particularly those exhibiting radicular grooves and C-shaped roots. Thus, the utilization of modern diagnostic techniques, such as CBCT and dental microscopy, facilitates the early identification of morphological variations. Additionally, the development of rotary and hand instrumentation, combined by the use of ultrasonics and modified obturation techniques, has improved the prognosis of C-shaped root canals.



PATIENT CONSENT

1 Statement on informed consent

For all research involving human subjects, informed consent to participate in the study should be obtained from participants (or their parent or guardian in the case of children under 18 and a statement to this effect should appear in the work.

For all works that include details, images, or videos relating to individual participants, written informed consent for the publication of these must be obtained from the participants (or their parent or legal guardian in the case of children under 18) and a statement to this effect should appear in the work.

A consent form must be made available to the Editor(s) on request and will be treated confidentially. In cases where images are entirely unidentifiable and there are no details on individuals reported within the manuscript, consent for publication of images may not be required. The final decision on whether consent to publish is required lies with the Editor(s). When informed consent has been obtained it should also be indicated in the published work.

This work must contain a notification like the next example:

“I undersign and certificate that I have obtained the written consent of the identified persons or their legal guardians for the presentation of the cases within the present scientific paper.”

Best regards,

.....

Author: Raid Abdullah Almnea

Date: February/ 28/ 2024



CONFLICT OF INTEREST

1

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

This work must contain a notification like the next example:

“I undersign and certificate that I have / do not have any financial or personal relationships that might bias the content of this work.”

If such relationship does exist, this have to be disclosed and described.

This should apply to all original papers, including original research, editorials, state of the art papers, brief reports, case reports, images in medicine, and also for comments on clinical trials.

If any conflict of interest is present, please detail below:

No Conflict of interest

Best regards,

.....

Author: **Raid Abdullah Almnea**

Date: February/ 28/ 2024

4

AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTIONS

For research articles with several authors, a short paragraph specifying their individual contributions must be provided. The following statements should be used

“Conceptualization, methodology investigation, data curation, writing—original draft preparation, review and editing, project administration was made by R.A.A. The author has read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.”

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Not applicable



REFERENCES

1. Alfahadi HR, ALKazman FH, Alqahtani AS. Endodontic Management of Permanent Mandibular First Premolar with Type V Canal Configuration: Case Report. *J Dent Oral Sci.* 2020;2(3):1-5. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.37191/Mapsci-2582-3736-2\(3\)-045](https://doi.org/10.37191/Mapsci-2582-3736-2(3)-045)
2. Al-Zubaidi SM, Almansour MI, Alshammari AS, Al Mansour NN, Alshammari AF, Altamimi YS, Madfa AA. Root and Canal Morphology of Mandibular Premolars in a Saudi Subpopulation: A Cone-Beam Computed Tomography Study. *Int J Dent.* 2022 Mar 8;2022: 4038909. doi: 10.1155/2022/4038909.
3. Fan B, Yang J, Gutmann JL, Fan M. Root canal systems in mandibular first premolars with C-shaped root configurations. Part I: Microcomputed tomography mapping of the radicular groove and associated root canal cross-sections. *J Endod.* 2008 Nov;34(11):1337-1341. doi: 10.1016/j.joen.2008.08.006.
4. Martins JNR, Francisco H, Ordinola-Zapata R. Prevalence of C-shaped Configurations in the Mandibular First and Second Premolars: A Cone-beam Computed Tomographic In Vivo Study. *J Endod.* 2017 Jun;43(6):890-895. doi: 10.1016/j.joen.2017.01.008.
5. Rae O, Parashos P. Prevalence and morphology of different root canal systems in mandibular premolars: a cross-sectional observational study. *Aust Dent J.* 2023 Nov 16. doi: 10.1111/adj.12994.
6. Büyükbayram K, Sübay RK, Çolakoğlu G, Elçin MA, Ordu Sübay M. Investigation using cone beam computed tomography analysis, of radicular grooves and canal configurations of mandibular premolars in a Turkish subpopulation. *Arch Oral Biol.* 2019 Nov;107:104517. doi: 10.1016/j.archoralbio.2019.104517.
7. Srivastava S, Gaikwad RN, Alsahli N, Alrogaibah NA. Cone-beam Computed Tomographic Analysis of C-shaped Canals and Radicular Grooves in Mandibular Premolars: Prevalence and Related Factors. *J Contemp Dent Pract.* 2019 Nov 1;20(11):1350-1354. DOI: 10.5005/jp-journals-10024-2692.
8. Mashyakhy MH, Chourasia HR, Jabali AH, Bajawi HA, Jamal H, Testarelli L, Gambarini G. C-shaped canal configuration in mandibular premolars and molars: Prevalence, correlation, and differences: An In Vivo study using cone-beam computed tomography. *Niger J Clin Pract.* 2020 Feb;23(2):232-239. doi: 10.4103/njcp.njcp_335_19.
9. Aljuailan R. Root and root canal morphology of permanent mandibular premolars in Saudi Arabian population: A literature review. *Saudi Endod J* 2023;13:1-8. DOI: 10.4103/sej.sej_69_22
10. Karobari MI, Iqbal A, Syed J, Batul R, Adil AH, Khawaji SA, Howait M, Khattak O, Noorani TY. Evaluation of root and canal morphology of mandibular premolar amongst Saudi subpopulation using the new system of classification: a CBCT study. *BMC Oral Health.* 2023 May 15;23(1):291. doi: 10.1186/s12903-023-03002-1.
11. Al-Mahroos NA, Al-Mahroos SA, Al-Shahrani S. Management of mandibular premolars with various C-shaped root canal configurations: A case series. *Saudi Endod J* 2022;12:322-30. DOI: 10.4103/sej.sej_27_22
12. Fernandes M, de Ataide I, Wagle R. C-shaped root canal configuration: A review of literature. *J Conserv Dent.* 2014 Jul;17(4):312-9. doi: 10.4103/0972-0707.136437.
13. Al-Mahroos SA, Al-Sharif AA, Ahmad IA. Mandibular premolars with unusual root canal configuration: A report of two cases. *Saudi Endod J* 2016; 6:87-91. DOI: 10.4103/1658-5984.180622
14. Albader S, Tahani A. ALzaidi, Roba Aloraini. Endodontic Management of C-Shaped Mandibular Second Premolar with Four Canals: A Case Report. *Saudi J Oral Dent Res.* 2022; 7(12): 324-328. DOI: 10.36348/sjodr.2022.v07i12.002
15. Shah SA. Endodontic Management of Mandibular Second Premolar with Vertucci Root Canal Configuration Type V. *Case Rep Dent.* 2022 Apr 1;2022:3197393. doi: 10.1155/2022/3197393.



16. Alzahrani MS. Two Case Reports of C-Shaped Mandibular Premolars with Three and Four Root Canals. *Int J Oral Dent Health*. 2021; 7:126: 2469-5734. doi.org/10.23937/2469-5734/1510126
17. Ahmed HMA, Dummer PMH. Advantages and Applications of a New System for Classifying Roots and Canal Systems in Research and Clinical Practice. *Eur Endod J*. 2017 Dec 21;3(1):9-17. doi: 10.5152/eej.2017.17064
18. Vertucci FJ. Root canal morphology of mandibular premolars. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 1978 Jul;97(1):47-50. doi: 10.14219/jada.archive.1978.0443.
19. Gulabivala K, Aung TH, Alavi A, Ng YL. Root and canal morphology of Burmese mandibular molars. *Int Endod J*. 2001 Jul;34(5):359-70. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2591.2001.00399.
20. Khawaja S, Alharbi N, Chaudhry J, Khamis AH, Abed RE, Ghoneima A, Jamal M. The C-shaped root canal systems in mandibular second molars in an Emirati population. *Sci Rep*. 2021 Dec 13;11(1):23863. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-03329-1
21. Baghbani A, Bagherpour A, Ahmadi Z, Dehban A, Shahmohammadi R, Jafarzadeh H. The efficacy of five different techniques in identifying C-shaped canals in mandibular molars. *Aust Endod J*. 2021 Aug;47(2):170-177. doi: 10.1111/aej.12445
22. Fan B, Ye W, Xie E, Wu H, Gutmann JL. Three-dimensional morphological analysis of C-shaped canals in mandibular first premolars in a Chinese population. *Int Endod J*. 2012 Nov;45(11):1035-41. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2591.2012.02070
23. Gu YC, Zhang YP, Liao ZG, Fei XD. A micro-computed tomographic analysis of wall thickness of C-shaped canals in mandibular first premolars. *J Endod*. 2013 Aug;39(8):973-6. doi: 10.1016/j.joen.2013.04.039
24. Zhao Y, Fan W, Xu T, Tay FR, Gutmann JL, Fan B. Evaluation of several instrumentation techniques and irrigation methods on the percentage of untouched canal wall and accumulated dentine debris in C-shaped canals. *Int Endod J*. 2019 Sep;52(9):1354-1365. doi: 10.1111/iej.13119.
25. Amoroso-Silva P, Alcalde MP, Hungaro Duarte MA, De-Deus G, Ordinola-Zapata R, Freire LG, Cavenago BC, De Moraes IG. Effect of finishing instrumentation using NiTi hand files on volume, surface area and uninstrumented surfaces in C-shaped root canal systems. *Int Endod J*. 2017 Jun;50(6):604-611. doi: 10.1111/iej.12660.
26. Akhtar H, Naz F, Hasan A, Tanwir A, Shahnawaz D, Wahid U, et al. Exploring the Most Effective Apical Seal for Contemporary Bioceramic and Conventional Endodontic Sealers Using Three Obturation Techniques, *Medicina*. 2023; 59 (3): 567. 10.3390/medicina59030567.
27. Makanjuola JO, Oderinu OH, Umesi DC. Treatment Outcome and Root Canal Preparation Techniques: 5-Year Follow-Up. *Int Dent J*. 2022 Dec;72(6):811-818. doi: 10.1016/j.identj.2022.08.008



FIGURES




Figure 1 Preoperative radiographic assessment, apical lesion associated with 35# (A), CBCT scans reveal C-shaped canal on coronal third with buccal groove (B), three canals in the middle third along with apical lesion (C and D), one apical foramen at end of canal (E)



Figure 2 Follow-up radiographs showed the healing of the apical lesion: after 3 months (A), after 12 months (B).




Figure 3 Reduction in the size of the apical lesion after 24 months



Table 1. Summary of Saudi case reports of anatomical variations associated with mandibular first and second premolars

Author, Year	Gender/age	Tooth location, tooth type	Diagnosis	Classification used/Root canal configuration	Canals: Roots/Location of radicular groove	Methods of canal visualization	Treatment and outcome
[15], Mass	Male/21	Left, second premolar	Pulp necrosis with symptomatic apical periodontitis	Fan et al./coronal third: C4b, middle third: C5, apical third: C4a Ahmed et al./135 ¹⁻²⁻¹	3:1/buccal	Periapical, CBCT, and DOM	Reduction in size and clinical improvement after 24 months
[15], Adh	Female/18	Left, second premolar	Retreatment due to pain	Fan et al./middle third: C1 and C2, apical third: four independent root canals	4:2/mesio-lingual	Periapical and CBCT	NM
[15], Mass	Female/20	Right, second premolar	Symptomatic apical periodontitis	Vertucci/type V	2:1/ NM	OPG, periapical, CBCT, and dental magnification loupes	After 1 year the response was periapical
[11], Mansour et al.	Female/23 Female/32 Female/45	Left, first premolar	Necrotic pulp	Fan et al./coronal third: C1, middle third: C2, apical third: C4b Vertucci's/type III	1:1/mesio-lingual	Periapical, CBCT, and DOM	All cases with 1 year signs and symptoms and showed response to RCT and percussion
Left, second premolar		Failure of the previous RCT	Fan et al./Coronal third: C1, middle third: C2, apical third: C4b Vertucci's/type III	1:1/mesio-lingual	Periapical, CBCT, and DOM		
Left, first premolar		Previous pulp therapy with normal apical tissue.	Fan et al./Coronal third: C1/ middle and apical, third: C3 Vertucci's /type V	2:1/mesio-lingual	Periapical, CBCT, and DOM		
Left, second premolar		Retreatment due to failure of previous RCT	Fan et al./coronal third: C1, middle third: C5, apical third: C4a Vertucci's/type XVII	3:1/ NM	Periapical and DOM		
[16], Sha	Male/46	Right, first premolar	Symptomatic irreversible pulpitis	Gulabivala et al./type II	3:1/ NM	Periapical and magnifying loupes	NM
	Male/29	Left, second premolar	Faulty initiated therapy with asymptomatic apical periodontitis	Gulabivala et al./type VI	4:2/ NM	Periapical and magnifying loupes	NM
[2020], et al.	Male/20	Left, first premolar	symptomatic apical periodontitis due to failure of RCT	Vertucci/type V	2: 1/ NM	Periapical and DOM	NM
[13], Mansour et al.	Male/29	Left, first premolar	Necrotic pulp	Vertucci/type V Fan et al./coronal third: C1, middle and apical third: C3	2:1/ NM	Periapical and DOM	At 1 year reported asymptomatic and normal tissue
Left, third premolar		Previously treated	Vertucci/type III	2:1/ NM	Periapical and DOM		

NM, No Mentioned; **DOM**, Dental Operating Microscope; **OPG**, Orthopantomography; **RCT**, Root Canal Treatment